UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,926	12/30/2003	Nancy S. Borkowski	10559-876001 / P17395	6685	
	20985 7590 05/05/2009 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022		NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA			
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2416		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/05/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/749,926	BORKOWSKI, N	ANCY S.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	PHUONGCHAU BA NGUYEN	2416		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		•	lress	
This application is abandoned in view of:		,		
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office</li> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply to the Office of N are placed as the proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on</li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	), which is after the e 		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which plac	ces the	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper reply	, to the non-	
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
<ul> <li>2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$		
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.			
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37).  (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on				
after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.				
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire in	terest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity und	der 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seek	king court review	
7. X The reason(s) below:				
Applicant's representative had confirmed in 4-23-9 t	hat no reply was filed in response	e to 9-9-8 final offic	ce action.	
/Ricky Ngo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/PHUONGCHAU BA NGU Examiner, Art Unit 2416	IYEN/		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (	CFR 1.181, should be բ	promptly filed to	

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20090427